Cost-Effectiveness of Omalizumab in Chronic Spontaneous (Idiopathic) Urticaria Refractory to H1-Antihistamines in Turkey

Tatar M¹, Sezen S², Senturk A³, Balp MM⁴, Saylan M², Keskinaslan A4,⁵

¹Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey, ²Novartis Pharma, Istanbul, Turkey, ³Polar Polar Health Economics & Policy, Ankara, Turkey, ⁴Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland , ⁵University of Washington, Seattle, United States

INTRODUCTION

- Chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU) is a debilitating dermatological disease characterised by recurrent spontaneous outbreaks of pruritic wheals (hives), which also may be accompanied by angioedema persisting for 6 weeks or more.¹
- Although the duration of CSU varies, it is generally 1 to 5 years, despite treatment, and longer in more severe cases that are difficult to control. Often these patients show a more severe disease or, for example, patients have concurrent angioedema,
- Patients also can have a spontaneous remission, and relevant rates are applied to these patients.
- Figure 2 presents the structure of the model

Figure 2. Basecase Model Concept

ch baseline cohort will be analysed parately by the 5 UAS7 health states esponding patients retreated after lapse will return to initial response alth state, alternatively to

with comorbid physical urticarial, or with a positive autologous serum skin test^{2,3}

- CSU has a negative impact on many aspects of patient health-related quality of life (HRQOL), including physical discomfort, feelings, social interactions, sleep, and psychological state. The unpredictability of attacks also is a burden, as patients feel they lose control of their lives.⁴
- For patients with CSU who are refractory to antihistamine therapy, the availability of a licensed, effective, and safe treatment option is limited. The only approved treatment for CSU is non-sedating H1 antihistamines (nsAHs), when used according to the label dose, as a first-line treatment. However, many patients will remain symptomatic (with only an approximate 40%-50% response rate).³
- The guidelines recommend further step-wise treatment as shown in Figure 1, which presents the updated EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO treatment guidelines^{5,6}

Figure 1. EAACI/GA2LEN/EDF/WAO Treatment Algorithm for CSU





- Urticaria Activity Score 7 (UAS7) is used to measure the outcome of the treatment and symptom free days (UAS7 ≤6) as the outcome measure. During treatment, patients can progress through the health states according to their UAS7 score.
- Resource utilization data were based on reconciled opinion of seven medical experts which was obtained Delphi panel technique.
- Unit costs were taken from the Turkish health care payer's (Social Security Institution) official lists.
- Costs and outcomes were evaluated over 10 years and discounted at 3%.
- Results were presented as incremental cost/symptom free days.
- Short course (max 10 days) of corticosteroids may also be used at all times if exacerbations demand this

OBJECTIVE

 The objective of this study is to assess the cost-effectiveness of Omalizumab compared to standard of care (SoC) in treatment of patients with moderate to severe CSU refractory to standard of care (SoC) in Turkey

METHODS

- The cost-effectiveness model for Xolair was designed to consider the clinical benefits, resource use, and costs related to the use of Xolair in the treatment of refractory CSU from a Turkish health care payer perspective
- A Markov model of 10 years horizon was developed to estimate costs and outcomes associated with omalizumab 300mg/every 4 weeks and SoC for 24 weeks (SoC: licensed dose H1 antihistamines) using data from two phase III studies (ASTERIA I&II includes patients with CSU refractory to licensed dose of H1-antihistamines)7 as base case. Alternatively, another model with omalizumab 150mg/every 4 weeks was studied by keeping all other factors same with base case.
 - The following assumptions were made about the target patient groupwith:
 - A history of at least 6 months CSU diagnosis,
 - UAS7 score of 16 or higher at baseline ,
 - Weekly Itch Severity Score of 8 or higher at baseline ,
 - An urticaria Activity Score (0-6 scale) (UAS) of 4 or higher at baseline visit.

RESULTS

 In the basecase model (omalizumab 300mg/every 4 weeks and SoC for 24 weeks), the incremental symptom free days with omalizumab versus SoC was 27,965 days and the incremental cost was 1,610,349 Turkish Liras (TL) for 100 patients over 10 years. The incremental cost per symptom free day was 57.59 TL (Table 2).

Table 2. Basecase (omalizumab 300 mg) Cost-effectiveness Results

| | Total | | Incremental | | ICER | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| | Treatment Cost (TL) | Symptom free days | Treatment Cost (TL) | Symptom free days | Treatment Cost / Symptom free days (TL) | Treatment Cost / Symptom free days (EUR)* |
| SoC | 174,377 | 10,519 | _ | - | - | |
| Omalizumab 300 mg | 1,784,726 | 38,484 | 1,610,349 | 27,964.64 | 57.59 | 20.21 |

* Monthly average exchange rate Sep'14, TL/EUR: 2.85

- Also, the incremental cost per symptom free day was calculated as 135.37 TL in the alternative model (omalizumab 150mg/every 4 weeks and SoC for 24 weeks). The incremental symptom free days with omalizumab versus SoC was 4,208.17 days and the incremental cost was 569,67 Turkish Liras (TL) for 100 patients over 10 years.
- One-way sensitivity analyses by increasing and decreasing the price by 10% and 20% confirmed the robustness of the model results

CONCLUSION

- All patients in the treatment strategy received either omalizumab or placebo, both on top of antihistamines background medication, for 24 weeks, at which time the intervention therapy is stopped and the background medication continued.
- Patients who respond (defined as UAS7 ≤ 6) are re-treated by the same strategy upon relapse (defined as UAS7 ≥ 16, alternatively or ≥ 28). Following re-treatment, patients enter their original response health state, i.e., MILD, WELL-CONTROLLED, or URTICARIA-FREE. Alternatively, the response to re-treatment may be similar to that of the entire population after initial treatment, i.e., all five health states which are shown in Table 1.

| | UAS7 | |
|--------------------|-------|--|
| Health State | Score | Rationale |
| SEVERE urticaria | 28-42 | UAS7 \geq 28 is a criterion cited by UK clinicians as being |
| | | with Xolair |
| MODERATE urticaria | 16-27 | UAS7 \geq 16 is an entry criterion for the trials |
| MILD urticaria | 7-15 | This range of UAS7 scores lie between a good response and |
| | | moderate symptoms and is indicative of a lower level of response |
| | | that may or may not be re-treated |
| | | Depending on the baseline UAS7 score, attainment of a MILD |
| | | health state could still indicate a good response |
| WELL-CONTROLLED | 1-6 | UAS7 \leq 6 is the response definition from the ASTERIA and |
| urticaria | | GLACIAL trials and is accepted as a good response |
| URTICARIA-FREE | 0 | UAS7 = 0 is indicative of no symptoms of CSU and considered a |
| | | full treatment response |

- CSU affects the quality of life of patients and is associated with direct and indirect costs to payers and society.
- Omalizumab 300mg/every 4 months is a cost-effective treatment option for patients with moderate to severe CSU refractory to standard of care (SoC) in Turkish setting.
- The initial cost-effectiveness model was based on the data available from the phase 3 ASTERIA I, ASTERIA II, and Turkish payer requirements. However, future adaptations of the model may expand the model to include additional concepts to allow exploration of other populations, based on availability of real life data and additional analyses.

REFERENCES

 Zuberbier T, et al.; Dermatology Section of the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology; Global Allergy and Asthma European Network; European Dermatology Forum; World Allergy Organization. EAACI/GA(2)LEN/EDF/WAO guideline: definition, classification and diagnosis of urticaria. Allergy. 2009a Oct;64(10):1417-26.
 Humphreys F, et al.; The characteristics of urticaria in 390 patients. Br J Dermatol. 1998 Apr;138(4):635-8.
 Maurer M, et al.; Unmet clinical needs in chronic spontaneous urticaria. A GA²LEN task force report. Allergy. 2011 Mar;66(3):317-30.
 O'Donnell BF, et al.; The impact of chronic urticaria on the quality of life. Br J Dermatol. 1997;136:197-201)
 Zuberbier T, et al; The EAACI/GA(2) LEN/EDF/WAO Guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria: the 2013 revision and update. Allergy. 2014 Jul;69(7):868-87.
 Maurer M, et al.; Revisions to the international guidelines on the diagnosis and therapy of chronic urticaria. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges. 2013 Aug 19. doi: 10.1111/ddg.12194. [Epub ahead of print] 7. Saini S, et al.; Efficacy and Safety of Omalizumab in Patients with Chronic Idiopathic/Spontaneous Urticaria Who Remain Symptomatic on H1 Antihistamines: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. J Invest Dermatol. 2014 Jul 21. doi: 10.1038/jid.2014.306. [Epub ahead of print] 8. Maurer M, et al.; Omalizumab for the Treatment of Chronic Idiopathic or Spontaneous Urticaria. N Engl J Med 2013; 368:924-35.

DISCLOSURES: Funding for the cost effectiveness analysis and the poster was provided by Novartis Pharma, Turkey.